

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/743,202	12/22/2003	Conrad Klotz	1671-0288 DEP-5210	6870	
7590 02/03/2005		EXAMINER			
Paul J. Maginot			JACKSON, SUZETTE JAMIE		
Suite 3000				D. DED 140 (DED	
111 Monument Circle			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Indianapolis, IN 46204-5115			3738		
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/03/200	DATE MAILED: 02/03/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1			
	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/743,202	KLOTZ ET AL.	Ų"			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Suzette J Gherbi	3738				
Th MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover she two	ith the correspond nc ac	ddr ss			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA* - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) dated if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ration. Is, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered time ITHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	n 29 October 2004.					
3) Since this application is in condition for						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 2-20 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) ☐ Claim(s) 12,13 and 20 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 8-11,14,18 and 23 is/are reject 7) ☐ Claim(s) 4-7,10,15-17 and 19 is/are object to restriction	ed. ected to.					
Application Papers	•					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 22 December 20 Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by	<u>02</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ⊠ to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents of the priority documents of the priority documents of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	numents have been received. numents have been received in A ne priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	application No received in this National	I Stage			
Attachment(s) 1)	4) ☐ Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-5 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date	948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/743,202 Page 2

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's amendment dated 10/20, 2004 has been received in application serial number 10/743,202. Applicant's remarks filed 10/29/04, with to the prior office action are persuasive. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claim18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Judet 5,314,485. Judet discloses the invention as currently claimed comprising: Implanting a platform in the radius bone (20, 21); engaging an insert to the platform (23) when the platform is implanted in the radius bone, the insert defining a bearing surface for mating with an articulating element of the metacarpal wrist component (see col. 2, 1ines 44-49).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/743,202 Page 3

Art Unit: 3738

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 2-3, 8-11 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Greenwald et al. 4,106,128. Greenwald et al discloses the invention as claimed comprising: a stem (11) for engagement with a radius bone; a platform (13) attached to the stem; and insert (20) with an articulating surface (22); and a mating feature (23) between the insert and the platform; however Greenwald et al al. does not specify the term "sanp-fit". It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made that because Greenwald et al. teaches at col. 6, lines 4-16 that a locking means is described, and that the insert is pushed down into its mating feature its effect and ease of use can be considered as snapping or pushing and is deemed as a design choice as snap fit are well known in the art (see cited references).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 4-7, 10, 15-17 and 19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Claims 12-13 and 20 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/743,202

Art Unit: 3738

arrangements.

Conclusion

Page 4

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Goodfellow et al. 4,085,466; Goodfellow et la. 5,871,545; and Insall et al. 6,068,658 and William Ives-Brown GB 2278281 all disclose "snap-fit"

- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suzette J. Jackson whose work schedule is Monday-Friday 9-6:30 off every other Friday and whose telephone number is 571-272-4751.
- 10. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306.
- 11. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

Suzette J-J Gherbi 11 January 2005